arXiv:1610.01499v1 [math.DS] 5 Sep 2016

NONAUTONOMOUS RICCATI DIFFERENCE EQUATION WITH REAL k-PERIODIC ($k \geq 1$) COEFFICIENTS

RAOUF AZIZI

Abstract. We study the non-autonomous Riccati difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{a_n x_n + b_n}{c_n x_n + d_n},\ n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

where $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(c_n)_{n\geq 0}$, and $(d_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are k-periodic sequences, $k \geq 1$, with initial value $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Precisely we give a detailed analysis of the forbidden set and the character of the solutions.

1. Introduction

Consider the non-autonomous Riccati difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{a_n x_n + b_n}{c_n x_n + d_n},\ n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{1.1}$$

where $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(c_n)_{n\geq 0}$, $(d_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are k-periodic, $k \geq 1$, and with initial value $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $c_n \neq 0$ and $a_n d_n - c_n b_n \neq 0,\ \forall\ n \geq 0$.

When $k = 1$ the Eq.(1.1) is reduced to the first order autonomous Riccati rational equation which has been studied thoroughly (see, e.g., [6, 7]). The case $k = 2$ is investigated by E.A. Grove, Y. Kostrov, G. Ladas ([5]). They determined, firstly, the forbidden set of the Eq.(1.1) or also called the domain of undefinable solutions (see Definition 2.1), and secondly, the behavior of the solutions of Eq.(1.1). In this present paper, we extend the study of the Eq.(1.1) for any integer $k \geq 1$ by determining its forbidden set and the asymptotic behavior of its solutions. This also improves results in [5, 3]. For recent progress on the qualitative property on non-autonomous difference equations, see (e.g. , [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8]).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some definitions and we give some preliminary results on non-autonomous Riccati difference equation. In Section 3, we study the existence of solutions of Eq.(1.1) and their asymptotic behavior. Section 4 is devoted to detailed study of Eq.(1.1) when $b_n = 0$ for any integer n. In Section 5, we study the special case $b_n = 0, a_n = d_n = 1$, for all $n \geq 0$, with $(c_n)_{n\geq 0}$ not necessarily periodic. In

Key words and phrases. First order Riccati difference equation, non-autonomous Riccati difference equation, forbidden set, periodic solutions, asymptotic stability, dense solutions.

the Appendix, we give a complete study of Eq.(1.1) when $k = 1$, (using the matrix approach adopted in subsection 2.2).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Basic concepts.** For the sake of self-containment and convenience, we recall the following definitions.
A *first order non-autonomous difference equation* is an equation of the form

$$(E)\ x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n),\ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where Ω is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ (usually Ω is an interval or a union of intervals of $\mathbb{R}$), and for any integer n, $f_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.
If $f_n = f$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Eq.(E) is reduced to *first order autonomous difference equation*:

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n),\ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

A *solution* of Eq.(E) is a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that for every integer n, $x_n \in \Omega$ and $x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n)$.

Definition 2.1. The set of initial values $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ through which the Eq.(E) is not well defined for all $n \geq 0$ is called the *forbidden set* of this equation, usually denoted by $\mathcal{F}$. Hence the solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(E) exists if and only if $x_0 \notin \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.2. A solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(E) is called:

(i): *periodic* with period p if

$$x_{n+p} = x_n,\ \text{for every } n \geq 0\ (*)$$

(ii): periodic with *prime period* p if it is periodic with period p, where p is the least integer for which $(*)$ holds. In this case, the a *p-tuple* $\{x_n,\ x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+p-1}\}$ is called a *p-cycle* of Eq.(E).

Definition 2.3. A point $\bar{x}$ is an *equilibrium point* of Eq.(E) if $f_n(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$, for all $n \geq 0$ that is, $x_n = \bar{x}$ for all $n \geq 0$ is a constant solution of Eq.(E). The equilibrium point is said to be:

(i): *locally stable* if for every ϵ, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a solution of Eq.(E) with initial value $x_0 \in \Omega\backslash\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mid x_0 - \bar{x} \mid < \alpha$ we have $\mid x_n - \bar{x} \mid < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq 0$.

(ii): a *global attractor* relative to the set $\mathcal{B} \subset \Omega\backslash\mathcal{F}$ (basin of attraction) if for all solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(E) with initial value $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} x_n = \bar{x}$.

(iii): a *globally asymptotically stable* relative to the set $\mathcal{B}$ if it is locally stable and global attractor relative to the set $\mathcal{B}$.

(iv): *unstable* if it is not stable.

2.2. **Some results on non-autonomous Riccati difference equation.** In this subsection, we consider the Eq.(1.1) where the coefficients $a_n,\ b_n,\ c_n,\ d_n$ are not necessarily periodic. Set

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{A_n}(x) = \frac{a_n x + b_n}{c_n x + d_n}$$

Then the solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(1.1) can be expressed as follow:

$$x_n = f_{A_{n-1}} f_{A_{n-2}} \cdots f_{A_0}(x_0),\ n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Here $f_{A_{n-1}} f_{A_{n-2}} \cdots f_{A_0} := f_{A_{n-1}} \circ f_{A_{n-2}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{A_0}$.

Proposition 2.4.

(1) For every invertible matrices A, B we have: $f_A = f_B$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A = \alpha B$.
(2) For every $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_A f_B(x)$ exists we have: $f_{AB}(x)$ exists, and $f_A f_B(x) = f_{AB}(x)$.
(3) For every $n \geq 0$, $A_0, A_1, \ldots A_n \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{A_n} f_{A_{n-1}} \cdots f_{A_0}(x_0)$ exists, we have: $f_{A_n A_{n-1} \cdots A_0}(x)$ exits, and $f_{A_n} f_{A_{n-1}} \cdots f_{A_0}(x_0) = f_{A_n A_{n-1} \cdots A_0}(x)$. Particularly, if $A_n = A$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(f_A)^n(x) = f_{A^n}(x)$.

Denote by

$$\bar{A}_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} A_{n-1-i},\ n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

with $\bar{A}_0 := A_{-1} A_0 = I$.
Write

$$\bar{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_n & \bar{b}_n \\ \bar{c}_n & \bar{d}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Using Proposition 2.4, we can give the general form of solutions of Eq.(1.1) and thus the associated forbidden set. Then we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5.

(1) The forbidden set of Eq.(5) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ -\frac{\bar{d}_n}{\bar{c}_n};\ n \in \mathbb{N} :\ \bar{c}_n \neq 0 \right\}$$

(2) The solution of Eq.(1.1) with initial value $x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathcal{F}$ is given by:

$$x_n = f_{\bar{A}_n}(x) = \frac{\bar{a}_n x + \bar{b}_n}{\bar{c}_n x + \bar{d}_n},\ n = 0, 1, \ldots$$

In the sequel, we use the convention of notations:

$$\prod_{i=p}^{q}\xi_i = 1,\ \sum_{i=p}^{q}\xi_i = 0,\ \text{if } p > q$$

There are two specific cases that we can calculate the coefficients $\bar{a}_n,\ \bar{b}_n,\ \bar{c}_n,\ \bar{d}_n$. The case $A_n = A,\ n \geq 0$, corresponds to $\bar{A}_n = A^n$ (see Lemma A.2), and the case ($b_n = 0$ and $d_n = 1,\ n \geq 0$) (see proposition below).

Proposition 2.6. Assume that $b_n = 0,\ d_n = 1,\ n \geq 0$. Then the coefficients $\bar{a}_n,\ \bar{b}_n,\ \bar{c}_n,\ \bar{d}_n$ are given by:

$$\bar{a}_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i,\quad \bar{b}_n = 0,\quad \bar{c}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j,\quad \bar{d}_n = 1$$

Particularly, if $a_n = 1,\ n \geq 0$, then:

$$\bar{a}_n = \bar{d}_n = 1,\quad \bar{c}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i,\quad \bar{b}_n = 0$$

Using Proposition 2.6, we deduce the result of S. Stević on forbidden set ([9]).

Corollary 2.7. ([9], Proposition 1) Assume that $b_n = 0,\ d_n = 1,\ n \geq 0$. Then the forbidden set of Eq.(1.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ -\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j\right)^{-1} ;\ n \geq 1 :\ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \neq 0 \right\}$$

3. The non-autonomous Riccati difference equation with periodic coefficients

In this section, we determine the forbidden set and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of Eq.(1.1) and their asymptotic behavior when the coefficients $(a_n)_{n\geq 0},\ (b_n)_{n\geq 0},\ (c_n)_{n\geq 0},\ (d_n)_{n\geq 0}$ are k-periodic, $k \geq 2$.

3.1. The forbidden set.

Unlike the case $k = 1$, the calculation of the forbidden set is more complicated if $k \geq 2$. However, using the matrix approach adopted in subsection 2.2, we can explicitly determine the forbidden set for $k \geq 2$.

Let $A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix}$ be a sequence of matrices associated to the Eq.(1.1). Set

$$B_i = A_{i-1} \cdots A_0 A_{k-1} \cdots A_i,\quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1$$

For any invertible matrix A, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_A$ the forbidden set associated to

the equation: $x_{n+1} = f_A(x_n)$. The following result improves and generalizes those of [5].

Theorem 3.1. The forbidden set of Eq.(1.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}\left(-\frac{d_i}{c_i}\right),\ 0 \le i \le k-2 \right\} \bigcup \bigcup_{0\le i\le k-1} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{B_i}\right)$$

Proof. It is clear that if $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ the solutions $(x_n)_{n\ge 0}$ doesn't exist. Conversely, let us suppose that $x_0 \notin \mathcal{F}$, then $x_0 \notin \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}\left(-\frac{d_i}{c_i}\right),\ 0 \le i \le k-2 \right\}$ which implies that $x_1,\ x_2, \cdots, x_{k-1}$ exist. Now let $n \ge k-1$ and let us suppose that $x_1,\ x_2, \cdots, x_n$ exist. Let us prove that x_{n+1} exists. Let $n = pk + r$, where p and r are integers such that$0 \le r \le k-1$, then we distinguish two cases:

- Case 1: $r+1 \le k-1$. As $x_0 \notin \prod_{j=0}^{r} f_{A_j}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{B_{r+1}})$, then $x_{r+1} = \prod_{j=0}^{r} f_{A_{r-j}}(x_0) = f_{\prod_{j=0}^{r} A_{r-j}}(x_0) \notin \mathcal{F}_{B_{r+1}}$ so $f_{B_{r+1}}^{p}(x_{r+1}) = f_{B_{r+1}^{p} A_r A_{r-1}\cdots A_0}(x_0)$ exist. Since $f_{B_{r+1}^{p} A_r A_{r-1}\cdots A_0}(x_0) = f_{A_r A_{r-1}\cdots A_0 B_0^{p}}(x_0)$, and $f_{A_{r-1}\cdots A_0 B_0^{p}}(x_0) = x_n$ exist, so $f_{A_r}(f_{A_{r-1}\cdots A_0 B_0^{p}}(x_0)) = f_{A_r}(x_n) = f_{A_n}(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ exist.

- Case 2: $r+1 = k$. As $x_0 \notin \mathcal{F}_{B_0}$ then $f_{B_0}^{p+1}(x_0) = f_{A_{k-1}(A_{k-2}\cdots A_0 B_0^{p})}(x_0)$ exist. Since $f_{A_{k-2}\cdots A_0 B_0^{p}}(x_0) = x_n$ exists, so $f_{A_{k-1}(A_{k-2}\cdots A_0 B_0^{p})}(x_0) = f_{A_{k-1}}(f_{A_{k-2}\cdots A_0 B_0^{p}}(x_0)) = f_{k-1}(x_n) = f_n(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ exists.

Then the solution $(x_n)_{n\ge 0}$ exist. □

Corollary 3.2. ([5]) If $k = 2$, then the forbidden set of Eq.(1.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ -\frac{d_0}{c_0} \right\} \cup \mathcal{F}_{B_0} \cup f_{A_0}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{B_1})$$

Example 3.3. Let $k = 2$ and $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
We have $B_0 = A_1 A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $B_1 = A_0 A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.
So by Corollary A.3, we have:

$$\mathcal{F}_{B_0} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})^{n-1} - (1-\sqrt{2})^{n-1}}{2((1+\sqrt{2})^n - (1-\sqrt{2})^n)},\ n \ge 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{B_1} = \left\{ -2 - \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})^{n-1} - (1-\sqrt{2})^{n-1}}{(1+\sqrt{2})^n - (1-\sqrt{2})^n},\ n \geq 1 \right\}$$

Therefore by Theorem 3.1, the forbidden set of Eq.(1.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{-1\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{(1+\sqrt{2})^{n-1} - (1-\sqrt{2})^{n-1}}{2((1+\sqrt{2})^n - (1-\sqrt{2})^n)},\ n \geq 1 \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ \frac{-(3+2\sqrt{2})(1+\sqrt{2})^{n-1} + (3-2\sqrt{2})(1-\sqrt{2})^{n-1}}{(4+3\sqrt{2})(1+\sqrt{2})^{n-1} - (4-3\sqrt{2})(1-\sqrt{2})^{n-1}},\ n \geq 1 \right\}$$

3.2. **Asymptotic behavior and stability properties of the solutions.**

In this paragraph we shall decompose the Eq.(1.1) into k autonomous Riccati difference equations of type Eq.(A.1).
Indeed, let

$$y_p^i = x_{pk+i},\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1$$

Then the sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a solution of Eq.(1.1) with initial value u if and only if for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $(y_n^i)_{n\geq 0}$ is a solution of the equation $y_{n+1} = f_{B_i}(y_n)$ with initial value $y_0^i = x_i = \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_{i-1-j}}(u)$, where $B_i = A_{i-1} \cdots A_0 A_{k-1} \cdots A_i$.

Write

$$B_i = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_i & \tilde{b}_i \\ \tilde{c}_i & \tilde{d}_i \end{pmatrix},\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1$$

We have

$\mathrm{tr}(B_0) = \mathrm{tr}(B_1) = \cdots = \mathrm{tr}(B_{k-1})$ and $\det(B_0) = \det(B_1) = \cdots = \det(B_{k-1})$

We let $T = \mathrm{tr}(B_0)$, $D = \det(B_0)$ and $\triangle := T^2 - 4D$. Then $\triangle$ is the discriminant of the equation: $X^2 - TX + D = 0$ called the characteristic equation of Eq.(1.1).

Following the notation adopted in Appendix, we denote by:

- λ, μ the roots of a characteristic equation if $\triangle > 0$ such that $|\lambda| > |\mu|$, and $\rho_i = \dfrac{\lambda - \tilde{d}_i}{\tilde{c}_i}$, for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, such that $\tilde{c}_i \neq 0$.
- $re^{\pm i\theta}$ the complex roots of a characteristic equation if $\triangle < 0$.

The following Theorem describes the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of Eq.(1.1)

Theorem 3.4.

(1) If $T = 0$ then every solution of Eq.(1.1) is 2k-periodic.

(2) If $T \neq 0$ and $\tilde{c}_i \neq 0$, for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, then,

(2-1): If $\triangle > 0$, we have:

(i): If $\rho_0 = \rho_1 = \cdots = \rho_{k-1} = \rho$, then ρ is a global attractor locally asymptotically stable for Eq.(1.1) relative to the set
$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \left(\mathcal{F} \cup \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}(\tfrac{\mu - \tilde{d}_i}{\tilde{c}_i});\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \right\} \right).$$

(ii): If there exist $i \neq j$ such that $\rho_i \neq \rho_j$, then the k-cycle solution $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \cdots, \rho_{k-1}\}$ attracts all solutions of Eq.(1.1) with initial value outside the set $\mathcal{F} \cup \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}(\tfrac{\mu - \tilde{d}_i}{\tilde{c}_i});\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \right\}$. In addition it is stable.

(2-2): If $\triangle = 0$, we have:

(i): If $\frac{\tilde{a}_0 - \tilde{d}_0}{2\tilde{c}_0} = \frac{\tilde{a}_1 - \tilde{d}_1}{2\tilde{c}_1} = \cdots = \frac{\tilde{a}_{k-1} - \tilde{d}_{k-1}}{2\tilde{c}_{k-1}} = \rho$, then ρ is a global attractor for Eq.(1.1) relative to the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ but unstable.

(ii): If there exist $i \neq j$ such that $\frac{\tilde{a}_i - \tilde{d}_i}{2\tilde{c}_i} \neq \frac{\tilde{a}_j - \tilde{d}_j}{2\tilde{c}_j}$, then the k-cycle solution $\left\{ \frac{\tilde{a}_0 - \tilde{d}_0}{2\tilde{c}_0}, \frac{\tilde{a}_1 - \tilde{d}_1}{2\tilde{c}_1}, \cdots, \frac{\tilde{a}_{k-1} - \tilde{d}_{k-1}}{2\tilde{c}_{k-1}} \right\}$ attract all solutions of Eq.(1.1) with initial value outside the set $\mathcal{F}$, but unstable.

(2-3): If $\triangle < 0$, we have:

(i): If $\theta = \frac{p}{q}\pi,\ p \in \mathbb{Z},\ q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, with $gcd(p, q) = 1$, then all solutions of Eq.(1.1) are kq-periodic.

(ii): If $\theta \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}$, then every solution of Eq.(1.1) is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. **(1):** Suppose that $T = 0$, then by Proposition A.4, we have: for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, the solution $(y_n^i)_{n \geq 0}$ of equation $y_{n+1} = f_{B_i}(y_n)$ is 2-periodic. Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ a solution of Eq.(1.1). As $n = pk + r$ where $p,\ r$ are integers such that $0 \leq r \leq k-1$, then $x_{n+2k} = x_{pk+r+2k} = x_{(p+2)k+r} = y_{p+2}^r = y_p^r = x_n$, therefore the solution $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is 2k-periodic.

(2-1)-(i): Suppose that $T \neq 0,\ \tilde{c}_i \neq 0,$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1,\ \triangle > 0,$ and $\rho_0 = \rho_1 = \cdots = \rho_{k-1} = \rho$, then by Proposition A.4 we have: for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, and for all solution $(y_n^i)_{n \geq 0}$ of equation $y_{n+1} = f_{B_i}(y_n)$, with initial value in $\mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathcal{F} \cup \{\frac{\mu - d_i}{c_i}\})$ we have: $\lim_{n \to +\infty} y_n^i = \rho$, so for all $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that $u \neq \frac{\mu - d_0}{c_0},\ x_1(u) \neq \frac{\mu - d_1}{c_1}, \cdots,\ x_{k-1}(u) \neq \frac{\mu - d_{k-1}}{c_{k-1}}$, the solution $(x_n(u))_{n \geq 0}$ of Eq.(1.1) with initial value u converges to ρ. Therefore the point ρ is a global attractor for Eq.(1.1) relative to the set
$$\mathcal{G} =: \mathbb{R} \setminus \left(\mathcal{F} \cup \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}(\tfrac{\mu - \tilde{d}_i}{\tilde{c}_i});\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \right\} \right).$$

Now we show that ρ is stable. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, the point ρ is stable for equation $y_{n+1} = f_{B_i}(y_n)$ (Proposition A.4), there exist $\alpha_i > 0$ such that: $\forall\ y_0^i \in\ \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathcal{F} \cup \{\frac{\mu - d_i}{c_i}\})$, such that: $|y_0^i - \rho| < \alpha_i$, and for all solution $(y_n^i)_{n\geq 0}$ of equation $y_{n+1} = f_{B_i}(y_n)$, we have $|y_n^i - \rho| < \epsilon$, for all $n \geq 0$. In addition, as $x_1,\ x_2, \cdots,\ x_{k-1}$ are continuous at ρ, and $x_1(\rho) = x_2(\rho) = \cdots = x_{k-1}(\rho) = \rho$, then for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, there exists $\beta_i > 0$ such that: for all u with $|u - \rho| < \beta_i$, we have $|x_i(u) - \rho| < \alpha_i$. Finally let us take $\eta = \min\{\alpha_0,\ \beta_1,\ \beta_2, \cdots,\ \beta_{k-1}\}$ and $u \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $|u - \rho| < \eta,\ (x_n(u))_{n\geq 0}$ a solution of Eq.(1.1) with initial value u, and n is a integer, since $n = pk + i$ where $p,\ i$ are integers such that $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, then:

- If $i = 0$, as $|u - \rho| < \eta \leq \alpha_0$, then $|x_n - \rho| = |y_p - \rho| < \epsilon$.
- If $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, as $|u - \rho| < \eta \leq \beta_i$, then $|x_i(u) - \rho| = |y_0^i(u) - \rho| < \alpha_i$, which implies that $|x_n - \rho| = |y_p^i - \rho| < \epsilon$.

Therefore the point ρ is stable.

(2-1)-(ii): We have $\rho_{i+1} = f_{A_i}(\rho_i)$, for all $0 \leq i \leq k-2$, and $f_{B_i}(\rho_i) = \rho_i$, for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then $\mathcal{C} := \{\rho_0,\ \rho_1, \ldots,\ \rho_{k-1}\}$ is a k-cycle solution of Eq.(1.1). Now let $u \in \mathcal{G}$, and $(x_n(u))_{n\geq 0}$ a solution of Eq.(1.1) with initial value u. Then by Proposition A.4, we have: $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} x_{nk+i} = \rho_i$, for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, so the cycle $\mathcal{C}$ attract all solutions of Eq.(1.1) with initial value in $\mathcal{G}$. Similarly to the Case 2-1-(i), we easily prove that $\mathcal{C}$ is stable (in the sense cited in [1] (definition 7)). Indeed let $\epsilon > 0$, and set $\eta = \min\{\alpha_0,\ \beta_1,\ \beta_2, \cdots,\ \beta_{k-1}\}$ as in 2-1-(i). Then for all $u \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $|u - \rho| < \eta$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have: $|x_{nk+i}(u) - \rho_i| < \epsilon$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}$ is stable.

(2-2)-(i): Assume that $T \neq 0,\ \tilde{c}_i \neq 0$, for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1,\ \triangle > 0$, and $\frac{\tilde{a}_0 - \tilde{d}_0}{2\tilde{c}_0} = \frac{\tilde{a}_1 - \tilde{d}_1}{2\tilde{c}_1} = \cdots = \frac{\tilde{a}_{k-1} - \tilde{d}_{k-1}}{2\tilde{c}_{k-1}} = \rho$, then similarly to the case 2-1-(i), we can show that all solutions of Eq.(1.1) converge to ρ. The instability of ρ follows from the fact that ρ is unstable as equilibrium point of equations $y_{n+1} = f_{B_i}(y_n)$ (Proposition A.4).

(2-2)-(ii): The study of convergence of solutions is similar to the Case 2-1-(ii), and the instability character is similar to the Case 2-2-(i).

(3-1): Assume that $\triangle < 0$, and $\theta = \frac{p}{q}\pi,\ p \in \mathbb{Z},\ q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that $gcd(p, q) = 1$. Then by Proposition A.4, we have: for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, the solution $(y_n^i)_{n\geq 0}$ of equation $y_{n+1} = f_{B_i}(y_n)$ is q-periodic. Now let $n\ \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ a solution of Eq.(1.1), as $n = pk + r$ where $p,\ r$ are integers such that $0 \leq r \leq k-1$. Then $x_{n+2qk} = x_{pk+r+2qk} = x_{(p+2q)k+r} = y_{p+2q}^r = y_p^r = x_n$, therefore the solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is qk-periodic.

(3-2): This follows from the fact that $(x_{nk+i})_{n\geq 0}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ (see Proposition A.4).

□

Example 3.5.

(1) Let $k=4$ and we let $A_0=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&-1\end{pmatrix}$, $A_1=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\-1&1\end{pmatrix}$, $A_2=\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\-1&1\end{pmatrix}$ and $A_3=\begin{pmatrix}1&-1\\1&1\end{pmatrix}$.
We have $B_0=A_3A_2A_1A_0=\begin{pmatrix}2&0\\0&-2\end{pmatrix}$. Since $T=0$, so by Theorem 3.4,(1), every solution of Eq.(1.1) is 8-periodic.

(2) Let $k=2$ and we let $A_0=\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$ and $A_1=\begin{pmatrix}1&-1\\-1&2\end{pmatrix}$. We have $B_0=B_1=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&-1\end{pmatrix}$, $T=-1$, $\triangle=5>0$, $\lambda=-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, $\mu=\frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, $\rho_0=\rho_1=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Then by Theorem 3.4,(2-1),(i), the point $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is a global attractor locally asymptotically stable for Eq.(1.1) relative to set $\mathbb{R}\setminus\left(\mathcal{F}\cup\left\{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right\}\right)$.

(3) Let $k=2$ and we let $A_0=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&1\end{pmatrix}$ and $A_1=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&1\end{pmatrix}$. We have $B_0=\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\2&1\end{pmatrix}$, $B_1=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&2\end{pmatrix}$, $T=2$, $\triangle=8>0$, $\lambda=1+\sqrt{2}$, $\mu=1+\sqrt{2}$, $\rho_0=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, $\rho_1=\sqrt{2}-1$. Then by Theorem 3.4,(2-1),(ii), the 2-cycle $\left\{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2},\ \sqrt{2}-1\right\}$, is a global attractor locally asymptotically stable for Eq.(1.1) relative to set $\mathbb{R}\setminus\left(\mathcal{F}\cup\left\{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right\}\right)$.

4. **The special case:** $b_n=0$

In this section we suppose that $b_n=0$, for all integers n. By dividing by d_n, the Eq.(1.1) is reduced to the equation:

$$x_{n+1}=\frac{a_nx_n}{c_nx_n+1},\ a_nc_n\neq 0,\ n=0,1,\cdots \tag{4.1}$$

This equation was partially investigated by Clarck, M.E. and Gross, L.J. ([3]) when the parameters $a_n,\ c_n$ and initial value are positive, and by S. Stević ([9]) in view to describing the forbidden set when the parameters for $a_n,\ c_n$ and initial value are arbitrary reals.
Based on the theoretical support that we developed in Section 3, we will give in this section a complete study of Eq.(4.1), which extends and improves the results of M.E. Clarck, and L.J. Gross, Moreover we precise the forbidden set given by S. Stević.

By induction and a basic matrix calculation we can show the following:

Lemma 4.1. Let $A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 0 \\ c_n & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ n \geq 0$. Then

(1) For all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have:

$$B_i = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} & 0 \\ \tilde{c}_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\tilde{a} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_i = \prod_{j=i}^{k-1} a_j \sum_{l=0}^{i-1} c_l \prod_{r=0}^{l-1} a_r + \sum_{s=i}^{k-1} c_s \prod_{t=i}^{s-1} a_t$$

(2)

$$B_i^n = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}^n & 0 \\ \dfrac{\tilde{a}^n - 1}{\tilde{a} - 1}\tilde{c}_i & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ \text{for all } 0 \leq i \leq k-1,\ \text{and all } n \geq 0$$

with the convention $\dfrac{\tilde{a}^n - 1}{\tilde{a} - 1} = n,\ \text{if } \tilde{a} = 1.$

(3) The forbidden set $\mathcal{F}_{B_i}$ is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \left\{ -\left(\dfrac{\tilde{a}^n - 1}{\tilde{a} - 1}\tilde{c}_i \right)^{-1},\ n \geq 1 \right\} & ,\ \text{if} \quad \tilde{c}_i \neq 0 \\ \\ \emptyset & ,\ \text{if} \quad \tilde{c}_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have the following:

Proposition 4.2. The forbidden of Eq.(4.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ -\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} c_j \prod_{r=0}^{j-1} a_r + c_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \right)^{-1} ;\ 0 \leq i \leq k-2 :\ \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} c_j \prod_{r=0}^{j-1} a_r + c_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \neq 0 \right\}$$

$$\bigcup \bigcup_{i \in J_k} \left\{ -\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} c_j \prod_{r=0}^{j-1} a_r + \tfrac{\tilde{a}^n - 1}{\tilde{a} - 1}\tilde{c}_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \right)^{-1} ;\ n \geq 1 :\ \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} c_j \prod_{r=0}^{j-1} a_r + \tfrac{\tilde{a}^n - 1}{\tilde{a} - 1}\tilde{c}_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \neq 0 \right\}$$

where $J_k = \{i,\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1 :\ \tilde{c}_i \neq 0\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, we have:

$$\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_{i-1-j}} = f_{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} A_{i-1-j}} = f_{\bar{A}_i} \text{ and } (f_{\bar{A}_i})^{-1}(X) = f_{\bar{A}_i^{-1}}(X), \text{ for all } X \subset \mathbb{R}$$

and for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$.

Since $\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}(X) = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_{i-1-j}}\right)^{-1}(X)$, for all $X \subset \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_j}^{-1}(X) = f_{\bar{A}_i^{-1}}(X), \text{ for all } X \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ and for all } 0 \leq i \leq k-1$$

Now, by Proposition 2.6 the matrices $\bar{A}_i$ are given by:

$$\bar{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i & 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$(\bar{A}_n)^{-1} = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i\right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j & \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, by Theorem 3.1, we deduce Proposition 4.2. □

Now using Theorem 3.4, we shall give a complete description of the asymptotic behavior of solutions of Eq.(4.1). The following result extends and improves the result of Clarck, M.E. and Gross, L.J. ([3]), (see also [6], Appendix A, Theorem A.5).

Proposition 4.3.

(1) If $\tilde{a} = -1$, then every solution of Eq.(4.1) is 2k-periodic.

(2) If $\tilde{a} \neq -1$, we have:

(i): $|\tilde{a}| < 1$, then 0 is a global attractor locally asymptotically stable for Eq.(4.1) relative to set $\mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathcal{F} \cup \{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} f_{A_i}^{-1}(\frac{\tilde{a}-1}{\tilde{c}_i});\ 0 \leq i \leq k-1 :\ \tilde{c}_i \neq 0\})$.

(ii): If $\tilde{a} = 1$, and $\tilde{c}_i \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, then 0 is a global attractor for Eq.(4.1) relative to the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ but is unstable.

(iii): If $|\tilde{a}| > 1$, and $\tilde{c}_i \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, we have:

- If $\tilde{c}_0 = \tilde{c}_1 = \cdots = \tilde{c}_{k-1}$, then $\tilde{a}$ is a global attractor locally asymptotically stable for Eq.(4.1) relative to set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{F}$.
- If there exist $i \neq j$ such that $\tilde{c}_i \neq \tilde{c}_j$, then the k-cycle solution $\{\frac{\tilde{a}-1}{\tilde{c}_0}, \frac{\tilde{a}-1}{\tilde{c}_1}, \cdots, \frac{\tilde{a}-1}{\tilde{c}_{k-1}}\}$ attract all solutions of Eq.(4.1) with initial value outside the set $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$, in addition it is stable.

(iv): If $\mid \tilde{a} \mid \geq 1$, and there exist i such that $\tilde{c}_i = 0$, then any nonzero solution of Eq.(4.1) is oscillating.

Proof. The parameters describing Eq.(4.1) are:

$$D = \tilde{a}, \quad T = \tilde{a} + 1, \quad \triangle = T^2 - 4D = (\tilde{a} - 1)^2$$

So, by using the same notation adopted in section 3 we have:

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad \mid \tilde{a} \mid < 1 \\ \tilde{a}, & \text{if} \quad \mid \tilde{a} \mid > 1 \end{cases}, \quad \mu = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}, & \text{if} \quad \mid \tilde{a} \mid < 1 \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad \mid \tilde{a} \mid > 1 \end{cases}$$

Now apply Theorem 3.4, we get (1), (2)-(i),(ii),(iii).
Finally suppose that $\tilde{a} \neq -1 \ \mid \tilde{a} \mid \geq 1$, and there exists i such that $\tilde{c}_i = 0$. Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be nonzero solution of Eq.(4.1), since $\tilde{c}_i = 0$, then

$$x_{nk+i} = \tilde{a}^n x_i \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if} \quad \mid \tilde{a} \mid > 1 \\ x_i, & \text{if} \quad \tilde{a} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_0 \\ \tilde{c}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{c}_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where M is the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_0 & a_0a_1 & \cdots & a_0 \cdots a_{k-3} & a_0 \cdots a_{k-2} \\ a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} & 1 & a_1 & \cdots & a_1 \cdots a_{k-3} & a_1 \cdots a_{k-2} \\ a_2 \cdots a_{k-1} & a_2 \cdots a_{k-1}a_0 & 1 & \cdots & a_2 \cdots a_{k-3} & a_2 \cdots a_{k-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k-2}a_{k-1} & a_{k-2}a_{k-1}a_0 & a_{k-2}a_{k-1}a_0a_1 & \cdots & 1 & a_{k-2} \\ a_{k-1} & a_{k-1}a_0 & a_{k-1}a_0a_1 & \cdots & a_{k-1}a_0 \cdots a_{k-3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\det(M) = (\tilde{a} - 1)^{k-1} \neq 0$. Then there exist $j \neq i$ such that $\tilde{c}_i \neq 0$. This implies by Lemma 4.1, that

$$x_{nk+j} = \frac{\tilde{a}^n x_j}{\frac{\tilde{a}^n - 1}{\tilde{a} - 1} x_j + 1} \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} \begin{cases} \tilde{a}, & \text{if} \quad \mid \tilde{a} \mid > 1 \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad \tilde{a} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore the solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is oscillating. □

Corollary 4.4. ([6], Appendix A, Theorem A.5) Assume that a_n, c_n and the initial value are positive. Then:

(1) If $\tilde{a} \leq 1$, then the zero solution of Eq.(4.1) is a global attractor of all positive solutions of this equation.
(2) If $\tilde{a} > 1$, then the solution $(\bar{x}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(4.1) with initial value $\bar{x}_0 = \frac{\tilde{a}-1}{\tilde{c}_0}$ is globally asymptotically stable k-periodic solution of this equation.

Proof. Under the hypothesis of Corollary 4.4, we have, $\mathcal{F} \subset]-\infty, 0[$, $\tilde{a} > 0$ and $\tilde{c}_i > 0$, for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, therefore, the Corollary 4.4 follows immediately from Proposition 4.3,(2). □

5. **A class of non-autonomous Riccati equations with coefficients not necessarily periodic**

Let us assume that $a_n = 1$. Then the Eq.(4.1) is reduced to the equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{c_n x_n + 1},\ c_n \neq 0,\ n = 0, 1, \cdots \tag{5.1}$$

In this section we shall study Eq.(5.1) when $(c_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is not necessarily periodic in view to see the difference between the periodic and non-periodic cases. The following proposition describes the behavior of solutions of Eq.(5.1) and determine the forbidden set. In fact, we have the following:

Proposition 5.1.

(1) The forbidden set of Eq.(5.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \right)^{-1} ;\ n \geq 1 :\ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \neq 0 \right\}$$

(2) If $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} c_n = c \neq 0$, then 0 attracts all solutions of Eq.(5.1) but is not stable.

(3) If $\sum\limits_{n\geq 0} c_n$ converges, then for any solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(5.1), we have:

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} x_n = \frac{x_0}{x_0 \sum\limits_{n\geq 0} c_n + 1}$$

Particularly, if $\sum\limits_{n\geq 0} c_n = 0$ then $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} x_n = x_0$.

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a solution of Eq.(5.1), then by Corollary 2.5 and Proposition 2.6 we have:

$$x_n = \frac{x_0}{x_0 \sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i + 1},\ n = 0, 1, \cdots\ (*)$$

It follows that

(1) The forbidden set of Eq.(5.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \right)^{-1} ;\ n \geq 1 :\ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \neq 0 \right\}$$

(2) Since $\lim_{n\to+\infty} c_n = c \neq 0$, then $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} \infty$, so $\lim_{n\to+\infty} x_n = 0$.
Let us suppose that 0 is stable and let $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that: for any initial value $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ with $\mid u \mid < \alpha$, and for any solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ with initial value u, we have:

$$\mid x_n \mid = \left| \frac{u}{u \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i + 1} \right| < \epsilon \ (**)$$

Let $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i$ and $u_n = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{S_n} + \frac{1}{S_{n+1}})$. As $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} \infty$, then there exists a integer n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, u_n exists and satisfies $|u_n| < \alpha$. So by $(**)$, we get

$$\left| \frac{u_n}{u_n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{2}{c_n} + \frac{2}{S_n} \right| < \epsilon, \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

So $\frac{2}{|c|} = 0$, which is a contradiction, we conclude that 0 is not stable.

(3) If $\sum_{n\geq 0} c_n$ converges we have: $\lim_{n\to+\infty} x_n = \frac{x_0}{x_0 \sum_{n\geq 0} c_n + 1}$.

□

Appendix

In this section we give a new proof of some results related to the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the first-order Riccati autonomous difference equation defined by:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{ax_n + b}{cx_n + d};\ c \neq 0 \text{ and } ad - bc \neq 0 \qquad \text{(A.1)}$$

Set $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Using the tools of linear algebra we can explicit A^n and therefore the solution of Eq.(A.1) and the associated forbidden set as follows:

Let $P_A(x) = x^2 - \mathrm{tr}(A)x + \det(A)$ the characteristic polynomial of A and denote by: $\triangle_A = tr^2(A) - 4det(A)$ their discriminant, where $tr(A) = a + c$ and $det(A) = ad - bc$. Then we have:

Lemma A.2.

(1) If $\triangle_A \neq 0$, we have:

$$A^n = \frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} A - \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1})}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} I$$

where $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2$ are the complex roots of P_A and I is the identity matrix.

(2) If $\triangle_A = 0$, we have:

$$A^n = \lambda^{n-1}[nA + \lambda(1-n)I]$$

where λ is the unique root of P_A.

By Lemma A.2 and Corollary 2.5, we have:

Corollary A.3.

(1) If $\triangle_A \neq 0$, then the forbidden set of Eq.(A.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ -\frac{d}{c} + \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1^{n-1} - \lambda_2^{n-1})}{(\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n)c};\ n \geq 1 :\ \lambda_1^n \neq \lambda_2^n \right\}$$

(2) If $\triangle_A = 0$, then the forbidden set of Eq.(A.1) is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ -\frac{d}{c} + \frac{\lambda(n-1)}{nc},\ n \geq 1 \right\}$$

We conclude this section by studying the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the equation Eq.(A.1).

If $\triangle_A > 0$ and $tr(A) \neq 0$, then the characteristic polynomial P_A have two real roots, noted $\lambda,\ \mu$ with $|\lambda| > |\mu|$. If $\triangle_A < 0$, we denote by $re^{\pm i\theta}$, $\theta \in]0, \pi[$, the complex roots of P_A.

Proposition A.4.

(1) If $tr(A) = 0$, then all solutions of Eq.(A.1) are 2-periodic.

(2) If $tr(A) \neq 0$, we have:

(i): If $\triangle_A > 0$, then the equilibrium point $\rho = \frac{\lambda - d}{c}$ of Eq.(A.1) is a globally asymptotically stable relative to the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \left(\mathcal{F} \cup \left\{ \frac{\mu - d}{c} \right\} \right)$.

(ii): If $\triangle_A = 0$, then the point $\rho = \frac{a-d}{2c}$ attracts all solutions of Eq.(A.1) but is unstable.

(iii): If $\triangle_A < 0$, $\theta = \frac{p}{q}\pi$ with $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $gcd(p, q) = 1$, then all solutions of Eq.(A.1) are q-periodic.

(iv): If $\triangle_A < 0$ and $\theta \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}$, then every solution of Eq.(A.1) is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof.

(1): If $tr(A)=0$, then $A^2=\alpha I$ and for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we have: $A^{2n}=\alpha^n I$ and $A^{2n+1}=\alpha^n A$. Then the solution $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(A.1) is given by: $x_{2n}=f_{A^{2n}}(x_0)=x_0$ and $x_{2n+1}=f_{A^{2n+1}}(x_0)=x_1$, therefore $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is 2-periodic.

(2)-(i): If $tr(A)\neq 0$ and $\triangle_A>0$. Let $P=\begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12}\\ p_{21} & p_{22}\end{pmatrix}$ be an invertible matrix such that $A=PDP^{-1}$, where $D=\begin{pmatrix}\mu & 0\\ 0 & \lambda\end{pmatrix}$.

We have $P^{-1}=\frac{1}{det(P)}\begin{pmatrix} p_{22} & -p_{12}\\ -p_{21} & p_{11}\end{pmatrix}$. As $\begin{pmatrix} p_{11}\\ p_{21}\end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} p_{12}\\ p_{22}\end{pmatrix}$ are the eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalues λ and μ, then the coefficients p_{ij} satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} cp_{11}+(d-\mu)p_{21}=0\\ cp_{12}+(d-\lambda)p_{22}=0\end{cases}$$

hence, $p_{21}\neq 0$ and $p_{22}\neq 0$. Then for all $x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus(\mathcal{F}\cup\{\frac{p_{11}}{p_{21}}=\frac{\mu-d}{c}\})$, the solution $(x_n(x))_{n\geq 0}$ of Eq.(A.1) with initial value x is given by:

$$x_n=f_{A^n}(x)=f_P\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n f_{P^{-1}}(x)\right)$$

Since $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty}(\frac{\mu}{\lambda})^n f_P^{-1}(x)=0$, and f_P is defined in 0 ($p_{22}\neq 0$), then

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}x_n=f_P(0)=\frac{p_{12}}{p_{22}}=\frac{\lambda-d}{c}$$

Therefore the point $\rho=\frac{\lambda-d}{c}$ is a global attractor of Eq.(A.1) with basin of attraction $\mathbb{R}\setminus(\mathcal{F}\cup\{\frac{\mu-d}{c}\})$.
Now we will show that ρ is stable.
Let $\epsilon>0$, as f_P is continuous at 0, then there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\forall\ y\ \in\ ]-\alpha,\alpha[$, we have: $|f_P(y)-f_P(0)|=|f_P(y)-\rho|\leq\epsilon$. Since f_P is continuous at ρ, then there exits $\eta>0$, such that $\forall\ x\ \in\ ]\rho-\eta,\rho+\eta[$, we have: $f_{P^{-1}}(x)\ \in\ ]-\alpha,\alpha[$, which implies that $(\frac{\mu}{\lambda})^n f_{P^{-1}}(x)\ \in\ ]-\alpha,\alpha[$; it follows that, $\forall\ x\ \in\ ]\rho-\eta,\rho+\eta[,\ \forall\ n\ \in\ \mathbb{N}$, we have:
$\mid x_n(x)-\rho\mid=\mid f_P((\frac{\mu}{\lambda})^n f_{P^{-1}}(x))-f_P(0)\mid\leq\epsilon$. Therefore the point ρ is stable.

(2)-(ii): If $\triangle_A=0$, then the characteristic equation have a double root λ, given by: $\lambda=\frac{tr(A)}{2}=\frac{a+b}{2}$, in this case the matrix A can be expressed as follows: $A=PTP^{-1}$, where $P=\begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12}\\ p_{21} & p_{22}\end{pmatrix}$ is a invertible matrix and $T=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda & 1\\ 0 & \lambda\end{pmatrix}$. The vector $\begin{pmatrix} p_{11}\\ p_{21}\end{pmatrix}$ is a proper vector associated to the eigenvalue λ, then the coefficients p_{11} and p_{21} satisfy: $cp_{12}+(d-\lambda)p_{21}=0$. Hence $p_{21}\neq 0$.
Now let $(x_n(u))_{n\geq 0}$ be the solution of Eq.(A.1) with initial value $u\ \in\ \mathbb{R}$. We distinguish two cases:

- $u = \frac{\lambda-d}{c} = \frac{a-d}{2c}$. Then
$$x_1 = f_A(u) = \frac{a\frac{a-d}{2c}+b}{c\frac{a-d}{2c}+d} = \frac{a^2-ad+2bc}{c(a+d)} = \frac{a^2+ad-2(ad-bc)}{c(a+d)}$$
$$= \frac{a^2+ad-\frac{(a+d)^2}{2}}{c(a+d)} = \frac{a^2-d^2}{2c(a+d)} = \frac{a-d}{2c} = u$$
So $x_n = u,\ \forall\ n\ \in\ \mathbb{N}$.

- $u \neq \frac{\lambda-d}{c} = \frac{p_{11}}{p_{21}}$. Since $T^n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^n \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^{n-1}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & n\lambda \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$, so
$$x_n(u) = f_P(f_{T^n}(f_{P^{-1}}(u))) = f_P(f_{P^{-1}}(u) + \frac{n}{\lambda}) \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} \frac{p_{11}}{p_{21}} = \frac{a-d}{2c}$$

Therefore the equilibrium point $\rho = \frac{a-d}{2c}$, is a global attractor of Eq.(A.1), relative to the set $\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathcal{F}$.
Now suppose that ρ is stable, and let $\alpha\ \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_P(\alpha) \neq \rho$, then there exists $\eta > 0$, satisfied: $\forall\ u\ \in\ \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mid u-\rho \mid \leq \eta$, and $\forall\ n \in\ \mathbb{N}$, we have: $\mid\ x_n(u) - \rho\ \mid \leq \frac{\mid f_P(\alpha)-\rho\mid}{2}$. Let $u_n\ =\ f_P(-\frac{n}{\lambda}+\alpha)$. Then $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} u_n = \rho$, particularly there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mid u_N - \rho \mid \leq \eta$, which implies that $\mid x_N(u_N) - \rho \mid = \mid f_P(\alpha) - \rho \mid \leq \frac{\mid f_P(\alpha)-\rho\mid}{2}$, so $f_P(\alpha)-\rho = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore the point ρ is unstable.

If $\Delta_A < 0$, let $re^{\pm i\theta}$ be the roots of P_A. Then by Lemma A.2, the matrix A^n can be expressed as follows:
$$A^n = \frac{r^{n-1}\sin(n\theta)}{\sin\theta}\left[A - \frac{r\sin((n-1)\theta)}{\sin(n\theta)}I\right],\ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
which implies that: $\forall\ u \in\ \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathcal{F}$ the solution of Eq.(A.1) with initial value u is given by:
$$x_n(u) = \frac{\left(a - \frac{r\sin((n-1)\theta)}{\sin(n\theta)}\right)u + b}{cu + d - \frac{r\sin((n-1)\theta)}{\sin(n\theta)}} = \frac{-r(\cos\theta - \sin\theta\cot(n\theta))u + au + b}{-r(\cos\theta - \sin\theta\cot(n\theta)) + cu + d},\ n \geq 1$$
Then we distinguish two cases:

(2)-(iii): If $\theta = \frac{p}{q}\pi$ with $p\ \in\ \mathbb{Z},\ q\ \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0,1,2\}$ and $gcd(p,q) = 1$, then all solutions of Eq.(A.1) are q-periodic.
(2)-(iv): If $\theta\ \notin\ \pi\mathbb{Q}$, then the set $\{-r(\cos\theta - \sin\theta\cot(n\theta)),\ n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, so
$$\overline{\{x_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = \overline{\left\{\frac{yu+au+b}{y+cu+d},\ y \neq -cu-d\right\}} = \mathbb{R},$$
therefore the solution $(x_n(u))_{n\geq 0}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

□

References

[1] Z. AlSharawi, J. Angelos, S. Elaydi, L. Rakesh. *An extension of Sharkovsky's theorem to periodic difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **316** (2006), 128-141.

[2] F. Balibrea, M.V. Caballero, *Stability of orbits via lyapunov exponents in autonomous and nonautonomous systems*, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, **23**, No. 07, (2013).

[3] M.E. Clark, L.J. Gross, *Periodic solutions to nonautonomous difference equations.* Maths. Biosciences, **102** (1990), 105-119.

[4] S. Elaydi, R.J. Sacker, *Periodic difference equations, population biology and the Cushing-Henson conjectures*, Mathematical Biosciences, **201**, Issues 12, (2006), 195-207.

[5] E.A. Grove, Y. Kostrov, G. Ladas, SW. Schultz, *Riccati difference equations with real period-2 coefficients*, Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal, **14**, 33-56.

[6] V.L. Kocic, G. Ladas, Global Bevavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht, (1993).

[7] M.R.S. Kulenovic, G. Ladas, Dynamics of Second order Rational Difference Equations. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, (2002).

[8] U. Krause, *Stability of non-autonomous population models with bounded and periodic enforcement*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications **15**, Issue 7, (2009).

[9] S. Stević, *Domains of undefinable solutions of some equations and systems of difference equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. **219** (2013), 11206-11213.

Raouf Azizi, University of Carthage, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, Department of Mathematics, 7021, Jarzouna. Tunisia.

E-mail address: araoufazizi@gmail.com